637 Human and animal interaction and welfare issues at the farm level.
P. Hemsworth*1, University of Melbourne, Werribee, Vic, Australia.

While the importance of the stockperson in relation to animal welfare is gener-
ally acknowledged in industry care guidelines, codes of practice and quality
assurance programs for animal welfare, it is debatable whether this sentiment
has been fully accepted or adopted by the livestock industries and others. The
major human characteristics affecting animal welfare are the stockperson’s atti-
dues and behaviours. There are accumulating international data in a number of
livestock industries that show that a negative attitude by stockpeople towards
interacting with pigs, dairy cows and to a lesser extent poultry, is correlated
with increased levels of fear of humans by farm animals and, in turn, reduced
animal productivity and welfare. In addition to stockperson attitudes and be-
havior, there are other stockperson characteristics that will affect the welfare
of livestock such as technical skills and knowledge, job motivation and commit-
ment, job satisfaction and personality of the stockperson. This paper will re-
view the impact of the stockperson on farm animal welfare and consider the
opportunities for cognitive-behavioural training of stockpeople to improve ani-
mal welfare and other tools to assist in either selecting appropriate stockpeople
or in identifying their training needs. While both housing and stockperson fac-
tors are important determinants of animal welfare, the focus to date has been on
the former. Irrespective of their relative importance, considerably more resources
need to be focused on the critical role of the stockperson in protecting the wel-
fare of farmed animals. Indeed, it is likely in the near future that both the live-
stock industries and the general community will place an increasing emphasis
on ensuring the competency of stockpeople to manage the welfare of farm ani-
mals. Appropriate strategies to recruit, train and retain stockpeople in the live-
stock industries will be integral in safeguarding the welfare of commercial live-
stock.
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1The Ohio State University, Columbus, 2Animal Welfare Centre, Victoria, Aus-
tralia.

Societal concern about welfare of animals has increased over the past several
years. We assessed student attitudes toward animal welfare as part of a larger
project involving development and incorporation of animal welfare teaching
modules into the Animal Sciences curriculum at OSU. The specific objective
was to assess how course level (within the Animal Sciences major) and career
interest area (as determined by major course of study) affect student percep-
tions about animal welfare. Animal Sciences students from Introductory (L,
n=315) and Capstone (H, n=123) courses were surveyed about a variety of
animal welfare topics. Significant differences were observed in only a few re-
sponses, notably whether non-farmers are concerned about animal welfare (1:
“not at all”, 5: “very much”; H=3.52±0.09, L=3.18±0.05, p<0.001) and whether
the welfare of laying hens is generally good (1: “agree very strongly”, 5: “dis-
agree very strongly”; H=3.14±0.09, L=2.92±0.06, p<0.05). Students surveyed
in a senior level Social Issues course in Animal Sciences represented the Uni-
versity population and were divided into three groups, Non-agricultural majors
(NonAG, n=286), Agriculture/non-Animal Sciences majors (AG, n=134) and
Animal Sciences majors (AN, n=114). In almost all cases, differences were
observed between responses provided by NonAG and AG but relatively few
differences were noted between AG and AN. Interestingly, no differences were
noted among these groups regarding the state of the welfare of companion ani-
mals (dogs, cats, pleasure horses), with all groups agreeing that the welfare of
these species was generally good (1: “agree very strongly”, 5: “disagree very
strongly”; mean responses ranged from 1.76±0.08 to 2.10±0.11). We conclude
that the current curriculum in the Animal Sciences major is not impacting atti-
dues regarding animal welfare to a great extent, however there are significant
differences in attitudes regarding animal welfare of food animal species in stu-
dents with agricultural majors compared with non-agricultural majors.
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639 Attitudes to farm animal welfare: Survey results of US animal sci-
cence and veterinary college faculty. C. Heleksi1*, A. Mertig2, and A. Zanella1,
1Michigan State University, East Lansing, 2Middle Tennessee State University,
Murfreesboro.

The implementation of new technology or the acceptance of new research find-
ings is at least partially dependent on the attitudes of stakeholders. Regarding
farm animal welfare, a great deal of scientific work has been done, but uptake
of the information has been slow. We decided to assess the attitudes toward
farm animal welfare of two fundamentally invested stakeholder groups: animal
science faculty (ANS) and veterinary college faculty with a large animal/food
animal emphasis (VCF). We used e-mail surveys to contact ANS from 58 US
animal science departments and VCF from 27 US veterinary colleges. Our re-
sponse rate for ANS was 44% (n = 446) and for VCF was 35% (n = 157).

When presented with theoretical aspects of animal welfare, our respondents
were generally concerned (e.g., 71% VCF and 70% ANS agreed with the state-
ment, I believe in using animals for the greater human good, but we have an
obligation to provide for the majority of their physiological and behavioral needs.)
However, when presented with more specific examples, concern was consider-
ably lower (e.g. 38% of ANS agreed that they are concerned with castration
without anesthetic). Several background variables showed significant relation-
ships with calculated attitude scale scores: females were more concerned about
farm animal welfare than were males (P < 0.01), those with liberal political
views were more concerned than those with conservative views (P < 0.01); and
those citing higher religiosity had less concern than those with lower religiosity
(P < 0.05).

When asked to identify obstacles to enhancing farm animal welfare (if they felt
enhancements were necessary), over 60% of our respondents chose to provide
an open-ended (qualitative) answer. The five most common themes mentioned
were economics, lack of consumer willingness to pay, tradition, producer atti-
tudes, and inadequate welfare science research.

These survey-based studies represent an important step in understanding atti-
dues toward farm animal welfare of stakeholders who are heavily invested in
animal agriculture.
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Animal welfare is a complex issue of growing national and international importance, thus it is imperative to train personnel in scientific welfare assessment. Animal welfare instruction requires a multidisciplinary approach, which is not easily achieved in programs at single institutions. A university-wide collaboration of faculty at Michigan State University is developing a web-based animal welfare assessment course, using interactive media and software, to teach graduate and veterinary students scientific principles needed to assess animal welfare. Students will learn animal welfare concepts through a series of modules including: welfare ethics and law; economics of welfare; physiological indicators of welfare; welfare and suffering, including pain; and welfare standards among other relevant topics. To enhance quality and offer global diversity of content, modules will be created by international animal welfare experts. Web-based interaction between students and instructors from multiple institutions will provide opportunities for dialogue and collaboration. Real and science-based information has been compiled to form hypothetical scenarios, depicting various production or other animal-related situations. Students will use information on behavioral biology, physiology, husbandry, nutrition, veterinary care, housing, indicators of stress, and stockmanship to assess animal welfare by reviewing the information and rating each area, as well as the overall scenario. The scenarios contain links which allow students to access pertinent articles and animal welfare resources for additional information before making an assessment. A standardized answer key for each scenario allows students to compare their assessment to that of a panel of animal welfare scientists. The course will be evaluated to assess information acquisition, impact on knowledge of and attitudes toward welfare, and main criteria used to assess welfare. Future directions might include packaging course materials into CD-ROM format for professional use and licensing the course to other universities.
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641 Ruminal acidosis: beyond the rumen. M. B. Hall*, U. S. Dairy Forage Research Center, USDA-ARS, Madison, WI.

Although the main focus in ruminal acidosis has been on the rumen, it might be more accurate to consider this nutritional disorder as a syndrome that can affect systems beyond the rumen and outside of the gastrointestinal tract. Notwithstanding that ruminal acidosis is by definition related to low ruminal pH and damage to that compartment of the gut, damage and impairment of function associated with ruminal acidosis has been reported for diverse systems. Among the signs associated with ruminal acidosis, mucin casts shed in feces are indicative of destruction of epithelium in the large intestine. Apparently similar to damage caused by grain overload in equines, it may offer a link between the species for routes by which laminitis may be induced. It also suggests that excessive fermentation in other portions of the gastrointestinal tract may be involved in the syndrome of ruminal acidosis. The damage and changes reported with induced acute ruminal acidosis offer indication of the array of systems that may be compromised: reduced oxidative metabolism of neutrophils, pneumonia, liver abscesses, laminitis, damage to various organ systems, gastroenteritis, fungal invasion of damaged tissues, and reduced salivary secretion. The study of ruminal acidosis has focused largely on the rumen. A broader view of tissues and functions affected might offer a better sense of the impact of this disorder on the animal and of appropriate treatments.
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Ruminal pH is determined by the balance between the production of fermentation acids by microbes in the rumen and the absorption, passage, neutralization, and buffering of those acids. The production rate of fermentation acids is highly variable across diets. Identification of intrinsic characteristics of individual feeds have been identified that affect relative rates of digestion in vitro. However, absolute rates of digestion and passage of feed fractions in vivo are required to predict fermentation acid production. Absolute rates can be determined using the pool and flux method with ruminally and duodenally cannulated cows. Recent experiments using this method show great variation in fractional rates of passage of starch by source and indicate that rate of starch digestion in the rumen is a second order process and highly affected by concentration/activity of enzymes. Lack of information for absolute rates of digestion and passage of feed fractions from the rumen as well as microbial efficiency, which affects the yield of fermentation acid produced per unit of organic matter fermented, limit our ability to accurately predict fermentation acid production. Fermentation acid absorption is the primary route of hydrogen ion removal from the rumen and the concentration gradient across the ruminal epithelium is likely the major factor affecting their rate of absorption. Concentration gradient is likely affected by milk yield, which has been shown to be positively related to rate of fermentation acid absorption, as well as the strength and frequency of ruminal contractions, which affect mixing and blood flow. Coarse forage fiber retains digesta in the rumen, providing buffer capacity inherent in feedstuffs, increases salivary buffer flow through stimulation of rumination, and increases the concentration gradient through stimulation of ruminal motility. The importance of coarse forage fiber to maintain ruminal pH likely increases with the fermentability of diets.
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Recent information is improving our understanding of subacute ruminal acidosis (SARA) in dairy cows. Herds with SARA can be identified by measuring pH of ruminal fluid collected from a subsample of cows in the herd. Approximately 23% of herds evaluated as part of the clinical service provided by the Food Animal Production Medicine Section at the School of Veterinary Medicine, University of Wisconsin-Madison, were classified as having SARA problems. In herds feeding TMR, risk for low ruminal pH was higher in cows between 80 and 150 days in milk compared to cows less than 80 days in milk. Apparent risk factors for SARA, based on clinical and experimental data, include high dry matter intake, low dietary fiber content, inadequate dietary buffering, lack of long fiber particles, offering concentrate feeds separate from forage, sorting of feed ingredients within a TMR, intake of large meals at irregular intervals, and feed ingredients with unexpectedly high carbohydrate fermentability. Experimentally-induced SARA in lactating cows causes dry matter intake depression, decreased milk yield, increased ruminal concentrations of volatile fatty acids, transient spikes in ruminal lactate, the appearance of unusual fermentation products in ruminal fluid, and increased blood haptoglobin concentrations. SARA does not reliably cause milk fat depression, and short-term SARA challenges have no effect on milk fat content. SARA is more difficult to prevent in high-yielding cows with high dry matter intakes. Future prevention of SARA will likely require extremely consistent delivery of diets with minimal variation in composition; allowing cows adequate access to feed so that meals are small and regular; carefully formulating diets to optimize total intake of fermentable carbohydrate, fiber effectiveness, and buffering capacity; including (as needed) feed additives that help prevent low ruminal pH; and early detection of low ruminal pH - before long-term problems in cow health appear.
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